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abstract

Vibration caused by rotating equipment such as vacuum pumps, and shock, due to sudden impulses, are the main sources of microphonic effects in photomultipliers. Resonant vibrations in the dynode structure in the vicinity of the anode of the multiplier generate an unwanted current analogue at the anode.

Mathematical analysis based on a realistic model of the photomultiplier makes predictions on the magnitude of the effect and on the location of individual sources of resonance. Experiments are reported on that support the predictions of the model.

Practical guidance is given on how to minimise microphonic effects.

1 introduction

The unwanted noise effects of operating photomultipliers in severe environments are well known to users in the oil well logging industry. Even in considerably less harsh laboratory applications, such as mass spectrometry for example, vibration from a vacuum pump can generate serious microphonic effects in photomultipliers.

The availability of electronics with ever increasing sensitivity has promoted users to i) move towards tubes with fewer stages ii) use photomultipliers intended for high gain at low gain. Where shock and vibration is present considerations, i) and ii) can lead to poor performance.

The authors are unaware of any published work that explains the origin of microphonics in photomultipliers and hence there is no guidance on how to minimize these noise effects in such detectors. There are papers on reducing microphony in other devices which either detail mechanical damping or eliminate voltage differences between vibrating elements. Mechanical damping is appropriate for photomultipliers, however elimination of voltage differences between electrodes would destroy the gain of the photomultiplier. We propose a theoretical model that predicts that right order of magnitude for the observed effects and also points to means of reducing microphonic noise in photomultipliers.

2 theoretical considerations

For photomultipliers with a discrete dynode electron multiplier, there is a series of capacitances associated with the anode and the individual dynodes. This is illustrated in figure 1. There are also inter-dynode capacitances but these are of no consequence in this analysis.

![Figure 1 illustrating anode to dynode capacitances in a discrete dynode photomultiplier.](image)

Referring to figure 1, \( V_n \) is the potential difference between the nth dynode \( d_n \) and the anode; \( C_n \) is the corresponding capacitance. In the absence of vibration, the total charge stored in the anode-dynode system is

\[
q = \sum_n C_n V_n \quad \ldots (1)
\]

If each \( C_n \) has an associated variable component \( C'_n \) induced by vibration of frequency \( \omega \), then

\[
q(t) = \sum_n (C_n + C'_n \sin \omega t) V_n \quad \ldots (2)
\]

where \( q(t) \) is the charge stored within the anode-dynode system under dynamic conditions.

The current flowing into the anode is

\[
i(t) = \frac{dq(t)}{dt} = \sum_n V_n C'_n \omega \cos \omega t \quad \ldots (3)
\]

Where \( V_n C'_n \omega \) is the amplitude of the nth induced current component.

The proposed equivalent circuit for a photomultiplier under vibration is given in figure 2. It consists of a set of current generators, one for each dynode, in parallel with the signal source \( I(t) \). The magnitude of each current generator is given by the corre-
sponding element in the right hand side of equation (3).

\[ i_n = 100 \times 5 \times 10^{-15} \times 2 \times 10^3 \pi \times 10^3 \]
\[ \sim 3 \text{ nA} \]

With a gap of typically 5 mm between electrodes the movement is of the order of 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) for 0.1\% change in capacitance. This illustrates how only a very small vibration can cause a significant induced current.

3 experimental verification

Electron Tubes’ Acoustical Vibration Facility shown in figure 3, consists of a commercial, 1 watt, piezo electric transducer mounted in contact with the window of the photomultiplier under test. The loudspeaker is activated by a swept frequency sine wave generator covering the range 200 to 2000 Hz. Output is taken from the anode to a current-to-voltage amplifier followed by a second stage of voltage gain. In the high gain configuration, with a feedback resistor of 682 k \( \Omega \), the conversion gain is 0.9 volts output for 100 nA anode current. In the low gain setting with 62 k \( \Omega \) feedback, the conversion gain is 0.1 volts per 100 nA anode current. Unless otherwise stated all results refer to the high gain configuration.

The photomultipliers used in this study are 9106B, 30 mm, 7 stage, linear focused types. Figure 4 shows chart recordings measured at the output of the amplifier. Each photomultiplier was measured at 1 A/lm (gain of \( \sim 10^4 \)) in a linear divider which provided an equal distribution of about 50 V per stage.

There are several points to note here:

Resonances occur at different frequencies although the photomultipliers are of the same type.

The magnitude of the peaks is 10 to 15 mV rms which corresponds to 1 to 1.5 nA rms anode current.

The results of figure 4 give no indication as to the dynode or dynodes responsible for the particular peaks. In order to determine this, a second series of experiments was done in which the dynodes were successively brought into action.

In figure 5(a) only d7 is active through the application of -100 V with respect to the anode. All other dynodes were at 0 V. The flat trace shows little evidence of resonances attributable to d7 over the frequency range of interest.

In figure (5b) d7 has -100 V and d6 has -200 V applied with all other dynodes at 0 V. We can thus ascribe the peaks at 1050, 1650 and 1920 Hz to d6.

Figures 5(c) and 5(d) were obtained by successively activating d5 and d4 as described above. We note that d5 produces no peaks of any consequence but that d4 gives rise to peaks at 690, 1250 and a doublet at 1350 Hz.

Using the same sequence of measurements we were able to show for #34408 that the peak at 1110 Hz is due to d4.
It has been shown that microphonic noise from a particular dynode varies in proportion to the voltage applied to that dynode. The amplitude of the total microphonic noise from all dynodes will thus vary in proportion to the overall voltage applied to the tube. However, the gain of a photomultiplier does not increase linearly with applied voltage but varies as a high power. Although the microphonic noise increases, the signal derived from the photocathode increases much more rapidly with overall voltage. For best signal to noise, the prediction is that the photomultiplier should be operated at high gain with the external amplifier gain reduced to give the required overall sensitivity. This is verified in figure 7 which shows the results of operating photomultiplier #34390 at a gain of $10^4$ by using a feedback resistor of 682 kΩ and then at gain $10^5$ with a feedback resistor of 62 kΩ.

4 voltage dependence

Equation (3) predicts that the amplitude of the induced current from $d_n$ is proportional $V_n$, the voltage between $d_n$ and the anode. This was verified for #34390 by recording noise traces with $d_4$ voltage successively increased from -100 to -500 V with all other electrodes at 0 V. Although there is scatter on the results, figure 6 verifies that the microphonic noise varies proportionally with the voltage applied between the particular dynode and the anode.

5 system gain consideration

figure 6 the microphonic noise varies proportionally with applied voltage. $V_{d4}$ is the voltage applied to $d_4$ with all other electrodes at 0 V in #34390.

6 conclusions

This investigation shows that the model proposed for explaining microphonic noise in photomultipliers is substantially correct.

For the photomultiplier type investigated in this work it is shown that the origin of the noise derives from $d_6$ and even $d_4$. Further work is in progress on additional samples and to establish whether even earlier dynodes contribute.

The magnitude of the contribution from the individual dynode is proportional to the voltage applied to that dynode.

Best performance is obtained, in applications where severe shock and vibration are present, by operating the photomultiplier at high gain.
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